QRS dispersion as an index of dyssynchrony in left bundle branch block and of synchrony after cardiac resynchronization therapy: A variable of successful response
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ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Left bundle branch block is an important marker of poor prognosis in patients with heart failure. Resynchronization therapy can improve the function of the left ventricle in these cases.

**Objective:** To describe electrocardiographic variables associated with a better response to cardiac resynchronization.

**Method:** A total of 19 patients, 7 women and 12 men, with left bundle branch block and ejection fraction ≤ 35% were studied. An electrocardiogram was performed before and after resynchronization therapy, with QRS measurement in the twelve leads and calculation of its dispersion. Maximum QRS voltages were also measured. An echocardiogram was performed before and after the procedure.

**Results:** The ejection fraction increased from 29.8±4.7 to 41.2±10.7 % (p=0.000). The linear correlation between ejection fraction and dispersion of QRS at six months of follow-up was significant (r=0.34 and p=0.02). Women showed a greater dispersion of QRS (48.0±24.0 ms vs. 37.1±13.8 ms; p=0.04). QRS voltages were predominantly negative in aVR (-0.52±0.58 vs. 0.28±0.42 mVolt; p=0.032) and positive in V5 (0.71±1.12 vs. -0.15±1.20 mVolt; p=0.023) for responders in relation to non-responders.

**Conclusions:** The dispersion of QRS, increased in the electrocardiogram with left bundle branch block and decreased after resynchronization, showed a significant correlation with the ejection fraction. QRS narrowing after resynchronization was significant for responders. The predominantly positive voltages in aVR and negative in V5 could adequately predict which patients will not respond adequately to cardiac resynchronization therapy.
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Dispersión del QRS como índice de disincronía en el bloqueo de rama izquierda y de sincronía tras la terapia de resincronización cardíaca, una variable de respuesta exitosa

RESUMEN
Introducción: En pacientes con insuficiencia cardíaca, el bloqueo de rama izquierda del haz de His constituye un importante marcador de mal pronóstico, en ellos la terapia de resincronización puede mejorar la función del ventrículo izquierdo.
Objetivo: Describir variables electrocardiográficas asociadas a una mejor respuesta de la resincronización cardíaca.
Método: Se estudiaron 19 pacientes, 7 mujeres y 12 hombres con bloqueo de rama izquierda y fracción de eyeción ≤ 35 %. Se realizó electrocardiograma antes y después de la terapia de resincronización, medición del QRS en las doce derivaciones y cálculo de su dispersión, se midieron voltajes máximos del QRS, y se realizaron ecocardiografías antes y después del procedimiento.
Resultados: La fracción de eyeción se incrementó desde 29,8±4,7 hasta 41,2±10,7 % (p=0.000). La correlación lineal entre fracción de eyeción y dispersión del QRS a los seis meses de seguimiento fue significativa (r=0.34 y p=0.02); las mujeres presentaron mayor dispersión del QRS (48,0±24,0 vs. 37,14±13,8 ms; p=0.04). Los voltajes del QRS fueron predominantemente negativos en aVR (-0,52±0,58 vs. 0,28±0,42 mvolt; p=0.032) y positivos en V₅ (0,71±1,12 vs. -0,15±1,20 mvolt; p=0.023) para los respondedores en relación con los no respondedores.
Conclusiones: La dispersión del QRS incrementada en el electrocardiograma con bloqueo de rama izquierda y la disminución de la dispersión del QRS posresincronización demostraron correlaciones significativas con la fracción de eyeción; además, el estrechamiento del QRS tras la resincronización fue significativo para los respondedores. Los voltajes predominantemente positivos en aVR y negativos en V₅, pudieran predecir cuáles pacientes no responderán adecuadamente a la terapia de resincronización cardíaca.
Palabras clave: Terapia de resincronización cardíaca, Electrocardiograma, Insuficiencia cardíaca, Bloqueo de rama izquierda, Índice de disincronía eléctrica, Ventrículo izquierdo

INTRODUCTION
Chronic heart failure (CHF) remains a challenge for cardiology; its prevalence in the United States is estimated around 2.4% in adults. Despite optimal medical treatment, hospitalization for decompensation and death remain high in the first five years after its diagnosis. Intraventricular conduction disorders are a powerful marker of poor prognosis in patients with CHF, particularly left bundle branch block (LBBB). Cardiac asynchrony is complex and multifaceted. The prolongation of the atrioventricular (AV) interval delays systolic contraction, which could lead to early diastolic filling. Atrial pressure falls when the atria relax. If ventricular contraction is delayed, the diastolic pressure of the left ventricle (LV) exceeds atrial pressure and diastolic mitral regurgitation occurs. The loss of ventricular preload then leads to a reduction of LV contractility, by the loss of Starling mechanism. The delay of inter- and intraventricular conduction causes asynchronous contraction between regions of the LV wall (ventricular asynchrony), which affects efficiency and reduces cardiac stroke volume and systolic blood pressure. A poorly coordinated function of the papillary muscle can cause or aggravate functional systolic
QRS dispersion as an index of dyssynchrony in LBBB and of synchrony after CRT: A variable of successful response

mitral regurgitation, and a deteriorated performance may lead to LV remodeling.5

Cardiac resynchronization therapy (CRT) helps restore AV synchronization and inter- and intraventricular synchronization. It improves LV function, reduces functional mitral regurgitation and induces reverse remodeling of LV, which is evidenced by increases in LV filling time and its ejection fraction (LVEF), and decreases in the end diastolic and systolic volumes of the LV, as well as a reduction of mitral insufficiency and septal dyskinesia. The dominant mechanism causing the benefit is likely to vary from one patient to another, and in the same patient, as time goes by. It is possible that no single measurement could accurately diagnose the response to CRT, since the benefit mechanisms are very heterogeneous.5

The MADIT-CRT and RAFT studies have shown the usefulness of CRT in patients with CHF and LBBB.4,6 The pursuit of clinical, electrocardiographic, echocardiographic parameters and programmable values, such as the AV and interventricular (VV) intervals of the CRT devices, have been the focus of attention of electrophysiologists, since the beginning of this electrical therapy, to identify the ideal candidate to be a responder to CRT.8-12

Despite these efforts, 20 to 40% of patients who receive a CRT device continue to show a non-favorable response to this form of treatment.13

At the Cardiocentro Ernesto Che Guevara, the implantation of CRT devices started at the beginning of 2010; approximately 6-10 devices were implanted per year, always considering expert opinion and the guidelines for the selection of patients. These criteria have changed with the results of the different studies so far mentioned. In our hospital, an average of 15 to 20 devices is implanted per million inhabitants. The objective of this study is to describe preliminary results of electrocardiographic variables which are associated with a better response to CRT.

METHOD
A prospective study was conducted with 19 patients, from a total of 23, who received a CRT device at the Cardiocentro Ernesto Che Guevara in Santa Clara, Cuba, from January 2010 to June 2014. The minimum follow-up period was 11 months. The variables included in the study belong to the first six months.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee and the Scientific Council of the above mentioned hospital.

Inclusion criteria
1. Patients with left bundle branch block and QRS > 120 milliseconds (ms) and sinus rhythm.
2. LVEF ≤ 35%.
3. No evidence of pulmonary hypertension, ruled out by echocardiography.
5. No clinical evidence when questioned about coronary artery disease.
6. Coronary sinus electrode placed in the left lateral vein.
8. Failure to respond to optimal medical therapy.

Monitoring and exclusion
Patients were treated in the outpatient department at one week, one month, three months and six months after device implantation. Of the 23 patients, 4 were excluded for the following reasons:
- CRT with chronic atrial fibrillation and proven coronary artery disease: 1
- Death from cardiac decompensation: 1
- LV electrode which was not placed in the lateral vein: 2

Echocardiogram
Classic echocardiography criteria for including patients were initially considered according to the 2007 guidelines. In 2010, an update of these guidelines was carried out and the echocardiography passed into the background, therefore the calculation of the LVEF by the Simpson method and the area-length method was of interest. Pressures of the pulmonary artery trunk were also estimated, and LVEF, LV end-diastolic diameter and its end-systolic volume were calculated before the implantation of CRT device and six months later. These variables were useful to classify patients into responders or non-responders to CRT.

Electrocardiogram (ECG)
ECG with LBBB and QRS duration > 120 ms for NYHA functional class III-IV and QRS>150 ms for patients with NYHA functional class II, according to the 2010 update of the guidelines, which was the date when the study started. QRS manual measurement was per-
formed in the 12-lead of the ECG, recorded in unison, standardized, where 10 millimeters (mm) equals 1 millivolt and the paper speed was 25 mm/second. The QRS was measured from the initial deflection of the wave to its completion at point J. The difference in measurement of the widest QRS (wQRS) minus the narrowest QRS (nQRS) was calculated and the variable QRS dispersion (QRSd) was determined.

Formula: QRSd = wQRS - nQRS

Immediately after implantation, the following parameters were programmed into the device: synchronous VV and AV delay between 100 and 120 ms, the latter depending on the AV conduction with LBBB. If AV conduction with LBBB was equal to or less than 130 ms, it was programmed to 100 ms; if it was greater than 130 ms, it was programmed to 120 ms. Once these two parameters were programmed, an ECG was performed where QRSd was calculated.

In the twelve leads, the highest QRS voltage was measured, since its inception in the baseline to the top of the R wave or the nadir of the S wave.

Selection of responders and non-responders
Patients with a LV end-systolic volume decrease >15%, a 5% increase of LVEF and functional class improvement (tested with a walk of 6 minutes) were considered responders. Patients who did not achieve the above mentioned values were considered non-responders.

Statistical analysis
A database created with the SPSS version 17.0 for Windows was used. The normal distribution of the sample was checked and p>0.05 was obtained for the Shapiro-Wilk test, which allowed us to perform other parametric tests. A frequency distribution of the numerical variables in the study was carried out; and mean and linear regression comparisons were performed to test for statistical association: significant (p≤0.05), highly significant (p≤0.001) and not significant (p≥0.05).

RESULTS
Twelve men and 7 women were studied (Table 1). All women were responders to CRT and only 4 men (21%) were non-responders. The mean LVEF before CRT was similar for both sexes and for the groups of responders and non-responders, from 29.2 to 30.6%. The increase in terms of the mean LVEF in responders was slightly higher in the group of women (47.2 vs. 42.4%) with no significant difference (p=0.262). The group of non-responders showed a drop in the mean LVEF. The increase of this variable for the whole sample was from 29.8±4.70% to 41.2±10.7%, p=0.000.

The QRSd (Table 2) with LBBB showed a higher value in female responders compared to male responders (40.0±28.0 vs. 48.0±24.0 ms); however, it did not show significant differences (p>0.05). Immediately after the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Age ± DE</th>
<th>LVEF Before CRT ± SD</th>
<th>LVEF Before CRT ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responders</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>51.4 ±15.01</td>
<td>29.8 ± 7.35</td>
<td>47.2 ± 7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>59.0 ± 7.07</td>
<td>29.2 ± 6.01</td>
<td>42.4 ± 7.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-responders</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>43.0 ±1.41</td>
<td>30.6 ± 2.50</td>
<td>22.8 ± 1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>51.4 ±15.01</td>
<td>29.8 ± 7.35</td>
<td>47.2 ± 7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>54.43 ± 9.72</td>
<td>29.8 ± 4.59</td>
<td>37.5 ± 11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>53.1 ± 11.6</td>
<td>29.8 ± 4.70</td>
<td>41.2 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ, mean; SD, standard deviation
p ≥ 0.05 for comparisons of mean LVEF according to sex in responders.
p ≥ 0.05 for comparisons of mean age according to sex.
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Table 2. Distribution of mean QRS dispersion before and immediately after device implantation, according to the response to CRT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Nº</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>QRS dispersion</th>
<th>QRS dispersion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>With LBBB</td>
<td>With LBBB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>χ ± SD</td>
<td>χ ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responders</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>48.0 ± 24.0</td>
<td>24.0 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>40.0 ± 28.0</td>
<td>28.0 ± 10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-responders</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>30.0 ± 14.1</td>
<td>30.0 ± 14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Both (Total)</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>48.0 ± 24.0</td>
<td>24.0 ± 8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63.1</td>
<td>37.14 ± 13.8</td>
<td>28.57 ± 10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ, mean; SD, standard deviation
p>0.05 for all mean comparisons between responders and non-responders
p=0.000 for comparisons of mean QRSd before and after CRT in responders.
p=0.04 for comparisons of QRSd before the CRT (with LBBB) by sex in responders.

Device was implanted and reprogrammed, as explained in the method, an ECG was performed and the QRSd was calculated; note that the decrease in QRSd in men who were responders was 28.0±10.9 ms and 24.0±8.9 ms in women (p=0.234). Comparisons of mean QRSd, with LBBB and after resynchronization, regarding sex (40.0±28.0 vs. 28.0±10.9 ms for men and 48.0±24.0 vs. 24.0±8.9 ms for women) showed significant differences (p=0.000). When comparing the mean QRSd, for the whole sample in the study, it was 37.14±13.8 in male vs. 48.0±24.0 ms in female patients, showing significant differences (p=0.04). In the group of non-responders this QRSd value (30.0 ± 14.1 ms) was unchanged.

For the group of responders, a linear correlation between LVEF at six months of follow-up (Figure 1), as the dependent variable, and QRSd with LBBB, as independent variable, showed a correlation coefficient r=0.34 and p=0.02. The same statistical test was applied to responders, between LVEF at six months of follow-up and QRSd in the ECG after CRT (Figure 2) and it was found that the LVEF increased as QRSd decreased (r = -0.40 y p=0.000).

The distribution of mean values for the widest QRS, measured with LBBB, and measured immediately after CRT, is shown in Table 3. The group of responders had a mean widest QRS higher than non-responders (172.7±
16.1 vs. 150±14.1 ms), with no significant differences (p>0.05). Once the device was implanted, the QRS narrowed in responders from 172.7±16.1 to 105±13.8 ms (p=0.000). The decrease in the mean QRS width for non-responders was up to 120 ms, showing no significant difference (p>0.05).

QRS voltage in the twelve leads identified differences in some of them (L₁, aVL, aVR, V₅) depending on the group of patients (responders or non-responders) (Table 4). Responders showed higher voltages of the R wave in L₁ than non-responders (0.71±0.17 vs. 0.27±0.17 mVolt; p=0.008), it was the same with the voltage of R wave in aVL (0.68±0.25 vs. 0.10±0.14 mVolt; p=0.045). QRS voltage in aVR, in responders, was predominantly negative, compared to non-responders who had a positive QRS voltage (-0.52±0.58 vs. 0.28±0.42 mVolt; p=0.032). For V₅ the mean QRS was positive, with an R wave of 0.71±1.12 mVolt, when compared with non-responders who predominantly had negative QRS (-0.15±1.20 mVolt; p=0.023).

**DISCUSSION**

All the women selected for our study were responders to CRT. The 2013 Clinical Practice Guidelines of the European Society of Cardiology on stimulation and cardiac resynchronization therapy, when explaining the possibilities of better response to CRT, say that the criteria set out in the guide represent the view of the majority of the working group, but not all those who contributed agreed. Several statements are based on subgroup analysis of randomized clinical trials, which poses many problems of interpretation (interrelation between morphology and QRS duration, differences in response depending on sex, diagnostic benefit in ischemic or non-ischemic patients) and areas of uncertainty that are still under study (potential role of echocardiographic asynchrony in the narrow QRS). And they conclude that future studies might change knowledge and recommendations.

In our opinion, they enumerate a set of criteria which demonstrates that there is heterogeneity in the selection of samples in CRT studies. In order to homogenize our sample (see inclusion criteria), our study included 19 patients without clinical reference of coronary artery disease, with epicardial arteries without angiographic lesions and the LV electrode placed on the lateral vein. However, despite this attempt to homogenize, the whole group of women studied responded to the CRT; whereas only 66% of men were responders. Women accounted for 36.8% of the total sample.

Xu et al, mentioned in their study, which coincides with our results in percentage terms, that the percentage of women with CRT who have been studied has always been lower in most of the investiga-

### Table 3. Distribution of mean values for the widest QRS measured with LBBB and immediately after resynchronization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Widest QRS (mVolts)</th>
<th>With LBBB</th>
<th>With LBBB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>χ ± SD</td>
<td>χ ± SD</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responders</td>
<td>172.7 ± 16.1</td>
<td>105.4 ± 13.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-responders</td>
<td>150.0 ± 14.1</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>169.2 ± 17.5</td>
<td>107.6 ± 22.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ, mean; SD, standard deviation
p>0.05 for all mean comparisons between responders and non-responders.
p=0.000 for comparisons of mean widest QRS with LBBB and after resynchronization in responders.

### Table 4. Distribution of mean values for the highest QRS voltages in some ECG leads, according to the response to CRT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Highest voltage of QRS with LBBB (mVolts) (χ ± DE)</th>
<th>L₁*</th>
<th>aVL**</th>
<th>aVRΩ</th>
<th>V₅ΩΩ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responders</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.25</td>
<td>-0.52 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.71 ± 1.12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-responders</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.42</td>
<td>-0.15 ± 1.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.23</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.40</td>
<td>-0.43 ± 0.58</td>
<td>0.58 ± 1.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

χ, mean; SD, standard deviation
* p=0.008          Ω p=0.032
** p=0.045         ΩΩ p=0.023
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tions; they also state that both sexes have an equally significant response to CRT with regard to the increase in LVEF. This also coincides with our results, because although women had higher LVEF that responder men (47.2 vs. 42.4%), there was no significant differences. However, Varma et al.7 showed gender differences in describing that, with a QRS>150 ms, 86% of women responded to CRT vs. 36% of men, and with a QRS <150 ms, 83% of women vs. 69% of men. Loring et al.49, suggest that the better response of women to CRT may be due to a greater number of false positives when selecting LBBB in males and not to differences in other already studied variables.

No reports mentioning the study of QRSd were found in the reviewed literature1-48. When reflecting on the existing theory of P wave dispersion on the ECG49-51, which explains regional differences in the atrial activation times with intra- and interatrial conduction disorders, it is thought that in the presence of LBBB, where electrical delays of some areas of the heart have been demonstrated—for example the LV lateral wall with respect to the interventricular septum5,18—there could also be minimum and maximum QRS duration values which could allow us to calculate the dispersion. Therefore, we decided to study this new variable.

The preliminary observation we can mention is the larger QRSd in females (100% responders to CRT), as well as the decrease in this QRSd, when comparing the ECG before (with LBBB) and immediately after the CRT, which was more evident in women; in addition to the significant correlations of LVEF with QRSd on the follow-up echocardiogram. This could be a new variable to be assessed in future studies; that is, QRSd, which showed in our research that a larger QRSd on the ECG with LBBB (before CRT) and a greater regression of the dispersion value after CRT are related with greater increases in LVEF and improvement of the functional class. In other words, it could be said that QRSd can become an excellent variable to predict the outcome of CRT.

It is proposed to name the QRSd: electrical dyssynchrony index of the LV in the presence of left bundle branch block. The preliminary results presented here demonstrate the existence of a larger QRSd in patients with the widest QRS in the presence of LBBB; and the existence of higher electrical dyssynchrony in patients with QRS>150 ms has been proven5,17,18. This aspect was highlighted by Varma52 in 2009, demonstrating delays in the activation of the left ventricle. In addition, the regression of QRSd is higher in those patients who respond favorably to the CRT, which may be associated with the restoration of electrical synchrony and would have to be demonstrated from an electrophysiological point of view. It was also noted that the widest leads were LI and aVL; results that are not shown in this article, and will be published later.

The width of QRS has always been a matter of interest to select those patients who are responders or not to CRT. In the guidelines5,14,15, the benefit of this treatment in patients with LBBB>150 ms is mentioned 5,18. It has been considered that a QRS lower than 130 ms may predict a poor response to CRT48.

Dupont et al.46 highlight the importance of morphology and QRS duration to get a better response to CRT, they considered 150 ms as a cutoff, although it must be said that, in their study, the patients with LBBB and QRS>150 ms had a 12±12% increase in LVEF and those with QRS<150 ms had a 8±10% increase in LVEF; therefore, as the increase in LVEF was greater than 5% in both groups, both should be considered as responders, although the authors found significant difference between these values (p<0.05). However, Guglin and Curtis45, have argued that it is reasonable to expect that the longer the QRS the better the patient’ response to CRT, because the electrical dyssynchrony between the septum and the LV lateral wall is bigger. They also mention that current studies have shown increases in LVEF from 28.4±7.3% to 33.9±9.7% (p<0.001) in patients with QRS between 120 and 150 ms, and from 26.0±12.9% to 37.0±12.5% (p<0.001) in patients with QRS>150ms, demonstrating that, in these two groups, the increase in LVEF was approximately 5.5±7.3% vs. 11.0±12.1% (p=0.04); therefore, the possibility that patients with LBBB and QRS between 120-150 ms may be good responders to CRT cannot be ruled out. For this reason, they think it is better to continue studying these differences or simply divide the groups into small increases in LVEF and finally consider that 150 ms is not a magic number for selecting a patient for CRT. The initial reduction of QRS width after CRT was recorded in the REVERSE study as 5,14,15,38. Our results agree with these proposals, because it was found a significant decrease in QRS width in responders (a mean reduction of 67 ms), while non-responders had a reduction of only 30 ms.

QRS morphology is also associated with a good re-
response to CRT\textsuperscript{46,47}. Patients with a clear LBBB meeting the criteria for this intraventricular conduction disorder have proven to be the best responders to CRT. The same has been said about patients with LBBB without axial deviation of the QRS axis to the right or to the left; they are better responders\textsuperscript{10}.

Our results show that responders are within normal voltages in the leads of the frontal and horizontal planes [I, AVL, aVR, V\textsubscript{5}] (Table 4). Deviations from the QRS electrical axis orientation were not found; it was only observed that in responders there is tendency to have normal voltages in the aforementioned leads. Non-responders had lower positive voltages in the leads of frontal plane (I and aVL), and predominantly positive voltages in aVR and negative voltages in V\textsubscript{5}, the latter denoted a poor upward progression of R wave voltage in precordial leads (up to V\textsubscript{5}) in non-responders.

García-Seara et al.\textsuperscript{48}, observed that patients with LBBB and left axial deviation of the electrical axis, who had the LV electrode placed in the lateral vein, had a better response to CRT. However, Brenyo et al.\textsuperscript{10} showed that patients with LBBB without left axial deviation of the QRS axis had the best response to CRT. Loring et al.\textsuperscript{48} concluded that the differences between men and women in the response to CRT are not associated with comorbidity, as they showed that non-responders did not have an ECG with real criteria for LBBB, but had similar electrocardiographic patterns which could be justified by delays of the left intraventricular conduction. Perhaps the differences in QRS voltages for non-responders, in the leads studied in our sample, are related to other left intraventricular conduction disorder and not a true LBBB.

In addition to the above, Josephson and Wellens\textsuperscript{49}, in Josephson Wellens ECG Lessons: A monthly visit to the 12 lead ECG have argued that the presence of a positive terminal R wave in aVR and a negative predominance in V\textsubscript{5} (R/S <1) is associated to growth or involvement of the right ventricle. They also mentioned that if these findings are chronic, they can predict a poor response to CRT.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The ECG continues to be a useful tool for selecting patients for CRT. A larger QRSd in the ECG with LBBB had a significant association with the increase of LVEF six months after CRT. The significant reduction of QRSd after CRT showed a significant correlation with the increase in LVEF during the follow up. Women had higher QRSd values in the ECG with LBBB and proved to be the best responders to CRT. The narrowing of the QRS after CRT, which coincides with the reduction of QRSd, was significant in responders. Patients with predominantly positive voltages in aVR and negative voltages in V5 did not respond adequately to CRT, something that may be useful in selecting patients for this treatment. The QRSd could be a useful variable to predict the response to CRT.

**STUDY LIMITATIONS**

This study was conducted with a small sample, with implantation of the LV electrode in the lateral vein. It did not include functional tests of great importance, such as single-photon emission computed tomography or nuclear magnetic resonance to rule out the presence of coronary artery disease; so its absence was only determined by clinical symptoms and coronary angiography. Therefore, the results obtained here need to be reproduced and evaluated in samples with different characteristics and comorbidities. Furthermore, other electro and echocardiographic variables which are widely mentioned in the literature reviewed were not assessed. Finally, failing to check the possible relationship between the widest QRS, QRS dispersion and LV electrical dyssynchrony was also a limitation.
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